



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/607,79	1 02/27/	96 GOODHILL		D	P06-34023
	-			MILLEREXAMINER	
CRAIG S S	UMMERS	21M1/0806			
PRETTY SCHROEDER BRUEGGEMANN & CLARK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
44 SOUTH FLOWER STREET SUITE 2000 LOS ANGELES CA 90071				2101	2
LOO MINULE	LO ON 2007	<u>.</u>	1 1	DATE MAILED:	

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

08/06/96

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

08/607,791

Group Art Unit

Goodhill

Examiner

Matthew Miller

2101



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 27, 1996</u>	·		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
X Claim(s) 1-11	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims			
Application Papers			
🛛 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	view, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is \square approved \square disapproved.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number))		
\square received in this national stage application from the Inter	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE F	OLLOWING PAGES		

Serial Number: 08/607791 Page 2

Art Unit: 1111

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frazen et al in

view of Kajimoto et al.

Frazen et al discloses recording images from a motion picture onto a "recording means well

known in the art" (col. 10 lines 25-26) of which high definition video at any particular number of

horizontal lines is one. Frazen doesn't disclose transferring images into digital format. Kajimoto

et al discloses non-linear editing and transferring images into digital format. It would have been

obvious at the time of the invention by one skilled in the art to combine the video with the non-

linear editing. One would have been motivated to do so to increase the resolution of video used

for editing purposes to more closely simulate the motion picture.

3. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frazen et al

and Kajimoto et al as applied to claims 1-7 above, and further in view of Washino et al.

Frazen et al and Kajimoto et al disclose the claimed invention but do not disclose changing

aspect ratios. As is well known in the art Washino et al discloses changing aspect ratios

electronically. It is well known in the art to use an anamorphic lens to change aspect ratios.

Serial Number: 08/607791 Page 3

Art Unit: 1111

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine aspect ratio changing with Frazen et al and Kajimoto et al. One would have been motivated to do so to maintain standard aspect ratios.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Matt Miller at telephone number (703) 308-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-34[31,32]. Any inquiry of a general nature or related to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

SAFET METJAHIC PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2\$00